

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,522		03/26/2004	Lowell A. Citron	12748/2	12748/2 7524	
23838	7590	08/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
KENYON &		ON	LEGESSE, NINI F			
1500 K STRI	EET NW					
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3711		

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/809,522	CITRON ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Nini F. Legesse	3711				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the rr  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or e:	THIS COMMUNICATION.  ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  ailing date of this communication.   bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  above, the maximum statutory period w  ktended period for reply will, by statute,  ater than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHOUSE.  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 04 Ma	ay 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above cla 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-8,</u> 6)□ Claim(s) is/a 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15 and</u>	re rejected.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is o	objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed	on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not req	uest that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
·	• • •	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19						
a) All b) Some *  1. Certified copic 2. Certified copic 3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the priori om the International Bureau	have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (P¹</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Paten</li> </ol>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•				
· ==	ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lazier (US Patent No. 5,785,603).

Lazier discloses a brace and the brace as shown on Fig. 1 is secured to a garment (see the shirt the person is wearing in Fig. 10. The device is capable of providing resistance to excessive bending of a golfer's back. The loop and hook and loop fasteners on the device are what are considered as means for adjusting the strap. Part of the device that goes around the golfer's torso is what is considered as a single continuous strap having a first and second end and means for joining the ends (see Fig. 1). During normal use and operation of the Lazier's device, the method steps as claimed would inherently be performed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. (US Patent No. 5,937,442).

Yamaguchi discloses a device comprising a brace with first and second diagonal strap portions and a garment (14 and see all Figs.). Yamaguchi does not teach for the device to be used in a golf game. However, it would have been obvious for some one who likes to play the game of golf but has shoulder and arm problem to utilize this support garment while playing a golf game in order to provide support to his/her shoulder joints. If one puts on Yamaguchi's device for joint support while playing golf, the method steps as claimed would inherently be performed.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazier.

Lazier fails to discloses a buckle. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious to use any type of joining means because Applicant has not disclosed that the use of a buckle rather than other connecting means provides an advantage or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Applicant's

invention to perform equally well with either the attachment device as taught by Lazier or the claimed buckle because both perform the same function of connecting two ends of an element.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are allowed.

Claims 11-15 and 20-22 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-4412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,522

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Nini F. Legesse

07/26/05